LOWER BOUNDS FOR GENERA OF FIBER PRODUCTS

ΒY

Fedor Pakovich*

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev Be'er Sheva 8410501, Israel e-mail: pakovich@math.bgu.ac.il

ABSTRACT

We give lower bounds for genera of components of fiber products of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces, extending results on genera of components of algebraic curves of the form A(x) - B(y) = 0, where A and B are rational functions.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we extend results of the recent papers [47], [53] concerning lower bounds for genera of components of algebraic curves of the form

(1)
$$E_{A,B}: A(x) - B(y) = 0,$$

where A and B are rational functions with complex coefficients, to the case of fiber products of arbitrary holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces. Not less importantly, we simplify the approach used in [47], [53] directly relating the problem to the group action on Riemann surfaces and the Hurwitz automorphisms theorem. Here and below, we always assume that considered functions and maps are non-constant.

The problem of describing rational functions A, B such that the algebraic curve (1) has a factor of genus zero or one, to which we refer below as "the low genus problem", naturally arises in several different branches of mathematics.

^{*} This research was supported by ISF Grant No. 1092/22.

Received December 20, 2022 and in revised form November 26, 2024

First, since (1) has a factor of genus zero if and only if there exist rational functions X, Y satisfying

the low genus problem is central in the theory of functional decompositions of rational functions. In the polynomial case, this theory was developed by Ritt (see [55], [59]). The general case, however, is much less understood and known results are mostly concentrated on a study of either decompositions of special types of functions or functional equations of a special form (see, e.g., [6], [10], [17], [24], [40], [41], [42], [46], [51], [56]). Notice also that by the Picard theorem any algebraic curve that can be parametrized by functions meromorphic on \mathbb{C} has genus zero or one. Thus, the functional equation (2), where X, Y are allowed to be entire or meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C} , often studied in the context of Nevanlinna theory (see, e.g., [4], [25], [33], [39], [65]), is also related to the low genus problem (see, e.g., [7], [34], [44], [45]).

Second, algebraic curves (1) with factors of genus zero or one have special Diophantine properties. Indeed, by the Siegel theorem, if an irreducible algebraic curve C with rational coefficients has infinitely many integer points, then C is of genus zero with at most two points at infinity. More generally, by the Faltings theorem, if C has infinitely many rational points, then $g(C) \leq 1$. Consequently, since many interesting Diophantine equations have the form

$$A(x) = B(y),$$

where A, B are rational functions over \mathbb{Q} , the low genus problem is of importance in the number theory (see, e.g., [5], [8], [9], [16], [18], [37], [38], [63]). The most notable result in this direction is the complete classification of polynomial curves $E_{A,B}$ having a factor of genus zero with at most two points at infinity obtained in the paper of Bilu and Tichy [9], which continued the line of researches started by Fried (see [18], [19], [20]).

Third, the low genus problem naturally arises in the new emerging field of arithmetic dynamics. For example, the problem of describing rational functions A and B such that all curves

$$A^{\circ n}(x) - B(y) = 0, \quad n \ge 1,$$

have a factor of genus zero or one is a geometric counterpart of the following problem of the arithmetic nature (see [11], [31], [49]): for which rational functions A and B defined over a number field K does there exist a K-orbit of Acontaining infinitely many points from the value set $B(\mathbb{P}^1(K))$? More generally, the problem of describing pairs of rational functions A and B such that all curves

(3)
$$A^{\circ n}(x) - B^{\circ m}(y) = 0, \quad n, m \ge 1,$$

have a factor of genus zero or one is a geometric counterpart of the problem of describing pairs of rational functions A and B having orbits with infinite intersection (see [26], [27], [53]).

Finally, notice that the low genus problem is related to the study of amenable semigroups of rational functions under the operation of composition, since for such a semigroup S the amenability condition implies that for all $A, B \in S$ all curves (3) have a factor of genus zero (see [52]).

In case the curve $E_{A,B}$ is irreducible, the standard approach to the low genus problem initiated by Fried ([18], [20]) relies on the use of an explicit formula for genus of $E_{A,B}$ in terms of the ramifications of A and B (see Section 2.1 below). However, the direct analysis of this formula is quite difficult, and obtaining a full classification of curves $E_{A,B}$ of genus zero or one in this way seems to be hardly possible. In addition, such an analysis results only in possible patterns of ramifications of A and B. However, rational functions with such patterns may not exist. It is known that any "polynomial" pattern is realizable by some polynomial ([64]), but already for "Laurent polynomial" patterns there exists a number of exceptions ([43]). In general, the problem of existence of a rational function with a given ramification pattern, the so-called Hurwitz problem, is still widely open (see, e.g., the recent papers [35], [54], [61], [66] and the bibliography therein).

A general lower bound for the genus of $E_{A,B}$ was obtained in the paper [47]. To formulate it explicitly, let us recall that for a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ its normalization is defined as a compact Riemann surface \mathcal{N}_P together with a holomorphic Galois covering of the lowest possible degree $\tilde{P} : \mathcal{N}_P \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\tilde{P} = P \circ H$ for some holomorphic map $H : \mathcal{N}_P \to \mathbb{R}$. From the algebraic point of view, the passage from Pto \tilde{P} corresponds to the passage from the field extension $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})/P^*\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C})$ to its Galois closure. In these terms, the main result of [47] may be formulated as

follows: if A and B are rational functions of degree n and m correspondingly such that $E_{A,B}$ is irreducible and $g(\mathbb{N}_A) > 1$, then

(4)
$$g(E_{A,B}) > \frac{m - 84n + 168}{84}$$

Thus, for fixed A the genus of $E_{A,B}$ grows linearly with respect to the degree of B, unless A satisfies the condition $g(\mathbb{N}_A) \leq 1$. In particular, $E_{A,B}$ has genus greater than one whenever $m \geq 84(n-1)$. What is important is that the condition $g(\mathbb{N}_A) \leq 1$ is quite restrictive. Specifically, up to the change

$$A \to \alpha \circ A \circ \beta,$$

where α and β are Möbius transformations, the list of rational functions A with $g(\mathcal{N}_A) = 0$ consists of the series

$$z^n, n \ge 1, \quad T_n, n \ge 2, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(z^n + \frac{1}{z^n} \right), n \ge 1,$$

and a finite number of functions, which can be calculated explicitly (see [48]). On the other hand, functions with $g(\mathcal{N}_A) = 1$ admit a simple geometric description. In particular, the simplest examples of such functions are Lattès maps (see [48]).

In case the curve $E_{A,B}$ is reducible, the above mentioned genus formula cannot be used for studying the low genus problem. On the other hand, the problem of reducibility of $E_{A,B}$, the so-called Davenport–Lewis–Schinzel problem, is very subtle and difficult (see [12], [14], [15], [19], [22], [23], [36], [58]). Thus, universal bounds for genera of components of $E_{A,B}$ are especially interesting. However, it is easy to see that it is not possible to provide such bounds for all components of $E_{A,B}$, since for arbitrary rational functions A and S, setting $B = A \circ S$ we obtain a curve $E_{A,B}$ with an irreducible component of genus zero x - S(y) = 0. Nevertheless, it was shown in [53] that excluding from consideration components of the above form and changing the condition $g(N_A) > 1$ to a stronger condition makes the problem solvable.

To formulate the result of [53] explicitly, let us introduce the following definition. We say that a rational function A is **tame** if the algebraic curve

$$A(x) - A(y) = 0$$

has no factors of genus zero or one distinct from the diagonal x - y = 0. Otherwise, we say that A is **wild**. It can be shown that for every tame rational function S the inequality $g(\mathcal{N}_A) > 1$ holds ([53]). Thus, the tameness condition is a strengthening of the condition $g(\mathcal{N}_A) > 1$. Notice that a generic rational function of degree at least four is tame ([45]), but a comprehensive classification of wild rational functions is not known (for some partial results see [3], [6], [45], [50], [57], [60]). In this notation, the result of [53] can be formulated as follows: if A is a tame rational function of degree n and B a rational function of degree m, then for any irreducible component C of the curve $E_{A,B}$ the inequality

$$g(C) \ge \frac{m/n! - 84n + 168}{84}$$

holds, unless $B = A \circ S$ for some rational function S, and C is the graph

$$x - S(y) = 0.$$

The algebraic curve $E_{A,B}$ can be interpreted as the fiber product of rational functions A and B, and in this paper we generalize results of [47], [53] to the fiber products of arbitrary holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces (see Section 2.1 for precise definitions). In practical terms, we consider commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \stackrel{U}{\longrightarrow} & \mathfrak{T} \\ \downarrow_{V} & & \downarrow_{W} \\ \mathcal{R} & \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

consisting of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces subject to the condition that the maps V and U have no non-trivial common compositional right factor in the following sense: the equalities

$$U = \widetilde{U} \circ T, \quad V = \widetilde{V} \circ T,$$

where

$$T: \mathcal{E} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \widetilde{V}: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{R}, \quad \widetilde{U}: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{T}$$

are holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces, imply that deg T=1. For brevity, we will call such diagrams **reduced**. Notice that for a reduced diagram, the inequalities

$$\deg W \ge \deg V \quad \text{and} \quad \deg P \ge \deg U$$

hold.

Our first main result is the following generalization of the bound (4) to the bound for the genus of the fiber product of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces, in case this product consists of a unique component.

THEOREM 1.1: Let $P : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ and $W : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces such that the fiber product $(\mathbb{R}, P) \times_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathbb{T}, W)$ consists of a unique component \mathcal{E} and $g(\mathbb{N}_W) > 1$. Then

(5)
$$g(\mathcal{E}) \ge (g(\mathcal{R}) - 1)(\deg W - 1) + 1 + \frac{\deg P}{84}.$$

Notice that since $g(\mathcal{R}) \geq 0$, inequality (5) implies the bound

$$g(\mathcal{E}) \ge \frac{\deg P - 84 \deg W + 168}{84},$$

which depends only on the degrees of P and W. Notice also that the condition $g(\mathcal{N}_W) > 1$ is obviously satisfied whenever $g(\mathfrak{T}) > 1$.

Let us mention that in general the bound provided by Theorem 1.1 is the best possible. Namely, the equality in (5) is attained for the fiber products of the quotient maps associated with the action of full automorphism groups of the Hurwitz surfaces (see Section 3.5).

Finally, we remark that Theorem 1.1 is not true if $g(\mathcal{N}_W) \leq 1$ (see [47]). The simplest examples are obtained from the commutative diagram

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \xrightarrow{z^r R(z^n)} \mathbb{CP}^1$$

$$\downarrow z^n \qquad \qquad \downarrow z^n$$

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \xrightarrow{z^r R^n(z)} \mathbb{CP}^1,$$

where R is an arbitrary rational function and r, n are integer positive numbers. Indeed, since the curve $E_{A,B}$ is irreducible whenever the degrees of A and B are coprime, choosing R and r, n appropriately we obtain an irreducible curve of genus zero $x^n - y^r R^n(y) = 0$ such that for fixed $A = z^n$ the degree of

$$B = z^r R^n(z)$$

can be arbitrarily large.

Our second main result is the following bound applicable to fiber products with several components. Theorem 1.2: Let

(6)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \mathcal{T} \\ & & \downarrow_{V} & \downarrow_{W} \\ & & \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

be a reduced commutative diagram of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces such that deg V > 1 and the fiber product of (\mathfrak{T}, W) with itself deg V times contains no components of genus 0 or 1 that do not belong to the big diagonal of $\mathfrak{T}^{\deg V}$. Then

τī

$$g(\mathcal{E}) \ge (g(\mathcal{R}) - 1)(\deg V - 1) + 1 + \frac{\deg P}{\deg W(\deg W - 1) \cdots (\deg W - \deg V + 1)}.$$

Notice that the condition $g(\mathcal{N}_W) > 1$ is equivalent to the condition that the fiber product of (\mathcal{T}, W) with itself deg W times contains no components of genus 0 or 1 that do not belong to the big diagonal of $\mathcal{T}^{\deg W}$ (see Section 2.2). Hence, in case

(7)
$$\deg V = \deg W,$$

the assumption about W from Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to the assumption about W from Theorem 1.1. Moreover, if (7) holds, then the fiber product $(\mathcal{R}, P) \times_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{T}, W)$ consists of a unique component \mathcal{E} (see Section 2.1), and thus the assumptions of both theorems coincide. Nevertheless, the bound provided by Theorem 1.2 is weaker than the bound provided by Theorem 1.2.

Applying Theorem 1.2 to rational functions, we obtain the following statement.

THEOREM 1.3: Let A and B be rational functions of degree n and m correspondingly, and C : F(x, y) = 0 an irreducible component of the curve $E_{A,B}$ such that $k = \deg_x F > 1$. Then

(8)
$$g(C) > 2 - k + \frac{m}{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)},$$

unless the algebraic curve in $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^k$ defined by the equation

(9)
$$A(x_1) = A(x_2) = \dots = A(x_k)$$

has a component of genus 0 or 1 that does not belong to the big diagonal of $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^k$.

Finally, we prove the following result slightly improving the result of [53].

THEOREM 1.4: Let A and B be rational functions of degree n and m correspondingly, and C an irreducible component of the curve $E_{A,B}$. Assume that A is tame. Then

(10)
$$g(C) > 2 - n + \frac{m}{n!}$$

unless $B = A \circ S$ for some rational function S, and C is the graph x - S(y) = 0.

In brief, our proof of Theorem 1.1 goes as follows. First, we establish a lower bound for the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathbb{N}_V)$ of the normalization of a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ in terms of $\chi(\mathcal{E})$ and $\chi(\mathcal{R})$, and deg V and deg \tilde{V} (Section 3.1). Using the Hurwitz automorphisms theorem, we also obtain an upper bound for $\chi(\mathbb{N}_V)$ in case $g(\mathbb{N}_V) > 1$. Then, we show that diagram (6) can be lifted to a diagram of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces

(11)
$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{N}_{V} & \stackrel{L}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{N}_{W} \\ & \downarrow^{Q} & \downarrow^{F} \\ \mathcal{E} & \stackrel{U}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{T} \\ & \downarrow^{V} & \downarrow^{W} \\ & \mathcal{R} & \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

(Section 3.2). Finally, we apply the Riemann–Hurwitz formula to the map

$$L: \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{N}_W$$

and use the above mentioned bounds for $\chi(\mathbb{N}_V)$ and $\chi(\mathbb{N}_W)$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is similar with the exception that in diagram (11) instead of \mathbb{N}_W there appears some irreducible component of the fiber product of $W: \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ with itself deg V times, and a rougher upper bound for the Euler characteristic of this component is used.

In concluding this introduction, let us mention that an upper bound for the genera of components of fiber products follows from the classical Castelnuovo– Severi inequality

$$g(\mathcal{E}) \le g(\mathcal{R}) \deg V + g(\mathcal{T}) \deg U + (\deg V - 1)(\deg U - 1)$$

for the genus of a compact Riemann surface \mathcal{E} such that there exist holomorphic maps $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ and $U : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{T}$ having no non-trivial common compositional right factor (see [1], [2], [32]). Indeed, considering for a component of the fiber product of maps $P : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $W : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{C}$ the corresponding reduced diagram (6), and taking into account that $\deg W \ge \deg V$ and $\deg P \ge \deg U$, we conclude that

$$g(\mathcal{E}) \le g(\mathcal{R}) \deg W + g(\mathcal{T}) \deg P + (\deg W - 1)(\deg P - 1).$$

The paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we recall some basic facts about fiber products and normalizations. In the third section, following the approach described above, we prove Theorems 1.1–1.4. We also show that the bound provided by Theorem 1.1 is sharp.

2. Fiber products and normalizations

2.1. FIBER PRODUCTS. Let $P : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $W : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{C}$ be holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces. The collection

$$(\mathfrak{R}, P) \times_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{T}, W) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n(P, W)} \{ \mathcal{E}_j, V_j, U_j \},\$$

where n(P, W) is an integer positive number and \mathcal{E}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, are compact Riemann surfaces provided with holomorphic maps

$$V_j: \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{R}, \quad U_j: \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{T}, \quad 1 \le j \le n(P, W),$$

is called the **fiber product** of P and W if

 $P \circ V_j = W \circ U_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n(P, W),$

and for any holomorphic maps $V : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{R}, U : \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{T}$ between compact Riemann surfaces satisfying

(12)
$$P \circ V = W \circ U$$

there exist a uniquely defined index $j, 1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, and a holomorphic map $T: \tilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{E}_j$ such that

$$V = V_j \circ T, \quad U = U_j \circ T.$$

The fiber product is defined in a unique way up to natural isomorphisms and can be described by the following algebro-geometric construction. Let us consider the algebraic variety

(13)
$$\mathcal{L} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{T} \mid P(x) = W(y) \}.$$

Let us denote by \mathcal{L}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, irreducible components of \mathcal{L} , by \mathcal{E}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, their desingularizations, and by

$$\pi_j: \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{L}_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n(P, W),$$

the desingularization maps. Then the compositions

$$x \circ \pi_j : \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{R}, \quad y \circ \pi_j : \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{T}, \quad 1 \le j \le n(P, W),$$

extend to holomorphic maps

$$V_j: \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{R}, \quad U_j: \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{T}, \quad 1 \le j \le n(P, W),$$

and the collection $\bigcup_{j=1}^{n(P,W)} \{\mathcal{E}_j, V_j, U_j\}$ is the fiber product of P and W. Abusing notation we call the Riemann surfaces \mathcal{E}_j , $1 \leq j \leq n(P,W)$, irreducible components of the fiber product of P and W.

It follows from the definition that for every j, $1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, the functions V_j, U_j have no **non-trivial common compositional right factor** in the following sense: the equalities

$$V_j = \widetilde{V} \circ T, \quad U_j = \widetilde{U} \circ T,$$

where

$$T: \mathcal{E}_j \to \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, \quad \widetilde{V}: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{R}, \quad \widetilde{U}: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{T}$$

are holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces, imply that deg T = 1. Denoting by $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ the field of meromorphic functions on a Riemann surface \mathcal{R} , we can restate this condition as the equality

$$V_j^* \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}) \cdot U_j^* \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_j),$$

meaning that the field $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}_j)$ is the compositum of its subfields $V_j^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ and $U_j^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T})$. In the other direction, if U and V satisfy (12) and have no nontrivial common compositional right factor, then

$$V = V_j \circ T, \quad U = U_j \circ T$$

for some $j, 1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, and an isomorphism $T : \mathcal{E}_j \to \mathcal{E}_j$.

Notice that since $V_i, U_i, 1 \leq j \leq n(P, W)$, parametrize components of (13), the equalities

$$\sum_{j} \deg V_{j} = \deg W, \qquad \sum_{j} \deg U_{j} = \deg P$$

hold. In particular, if $(\mathcal{R}, P) \times_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{I}, W)$ consists of a unique component $\{\mathcal{E}, V, U\}$, then

(14)
$$\deg V = \deg W, \quad \deg U = \deg P.$$

Vice versa, if holomorphic maps U and V satisfy (12) and (14), and have no non-trivial common compositional right factor, then $(\mathcal{R}, P) \times_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{T}, W)$ consists of a unique component.

If $R: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{C}$ is a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces, then by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula

(15)
$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(\mathcal{C}) \deg R - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{E}} (e_R(z) - 1),$$

where $e_R(z)$ denotes the local multiplicity of R at the point z. In case the fiber product $(\mathcal{R}, P) \times_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{T}, W)$ consists of a unique component $\{\mathcal{E}, V, U\}$, one can calculate $\chi(\mathcal{E})$ applying (15) to the map

(16)
$$R = P \circ V = W \circ U$$

as follows (see [20] or [42, Section 2]).

Setting

$$R_j = P \circ V_j = W \circ U_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n(P, W),$$

let us recall first that by the Abhyankar lemma (see, e.g., [62, Theorem 3.9.1]) for every point t_0 of \mathcal{E}_j the equality

(17)
$$e_{R_j}(t_0) = \operatorname{lcm}(e_P(V(t_0)), e_W(U(t_0)))$$

holds. In particular, $e_{R_j}(t_0) = 1$ whenever $R_j(t_0)$ is not a critical value of P or W.

If the fiber product of P and W consists of a unique component, then for the map R defined by (16) we have

(18)
$$c(R) = c(P) \cup c(W),$$

where c(F) denotes the set of critical values of a holomorphic map F. Let $\{z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_r\}$ be points of the set (18). We denote by $(p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}, \ldots, p_{i,u_i}), 1 \leq i \leq r$, the collection of local multiplicities of P at the points of $P^{-1}\{z_i\}$, and by $(w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, \ldots, w_{i,v_i}), 1 \leq i \leq r$, the collection of local multiplicities of W at the points of $W^{-1}\{z_i\}$. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to R gives

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = (\chi(\mathcal{C}) - r) \operatorname{deg} P \operatorname{deg} W + \sum_{z \in R^{-1}\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_r\}} 1.$$

On the other hand, formula (17) yields that the number of points in the preimage $R^{-1}(z_i)$, $1 \le i \le r$, is equal to

$$\sum_{j_1=1}^{u_i} \sum_{j_2=1}^{v_i} \gcd(p_{i,j_1} w_{i,j_2}).$$

Thus,

(19)
$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = (\chi(\mathcal{C}) - r) \deg P \deg W + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j_1=1}^{u_i} \sum_{j_2=1}^{v_i} \gcd(p_{i,j_1} w_{i,j_2}).$$

2.2. NORMALIZATIONS. Let $F : \mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. Let us recall that F is called a **Galois covering** if its automorphism group

$$\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}, F) = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}) : F \circ \sigma = F \}$$

acts transitively on fibers of F. Equivalently, F is a Galois covering if the field extension $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})/F^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ is a Galois extension. In case F is a Galois covering, for the corresponding Galois group the isomorphism

(20)
$$\operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N})/F^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})) \cong \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N},F)$$

holds. Notice that since the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}, F)$ on fibers of F has no fixed points, any element of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}, F)$ is defined by its value on an arbitrary element of a fiber, implying that the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}, F)$ on fibers of F is transitive if and only if the equality

(21)
$$|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}, F)| = \deg F$$

holds. Thus, the last equality is equivalent to the condition that F is a Galois covering. Another equivalent condition for F to be a Galois covering is the equality

$$|\mathrm{Mon}(F)| = \deg F,$$

where Mon(F) denotes the monodromy group of a holomorphic map F (see, e.g., [28, Proposition 2.66]).

Let $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ be an arbitrary holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. Then the **normalization** of V is defined as a compact Riemann surface \mathcal{N}_V together with a holomorphic Galois covering of the lowest possible degree $\widetilde{V} : \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{R}$ such that $\widetilde{V} = V \circ H$ for some holomorphic map $H : \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{E}$. The map \widetilde{V} is defined up to the change $\widetilde{V} \to \widetilde{V} \circ \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V)$, and is characterized by the property that the field extension $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}_V)/\widetilde{V}^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ is isomorphic to the Galois closure $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})}/V^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$ of the extension $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})/V^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})$. Notice that since

$$\operatorname{Mon}(V) \cong \operatorname{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})/V^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}))$$

(see, e.g., [29]), this implies by (20) and (21) that the normalization of V can be characterized as a Galois covering \tilde{V} that factors through V and satisfies the equality

(23)
$$|\operatorname{Mon}(V)| = \operatorname{deg} \widetilde{V}.$$

For a holomorphic map $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ of degree $n \geq 2$ its normalization can be described in terms of the fiber product of V with itself n times as follows (see [21, §I.G] or [30, Section 2.2]). For $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$, let $\mathcal{L}^{k,V}$ be an algebraic variety consisting of k-tuples of \mathcal{E}^k with a common image under V,

$$\mathcal{L}^{k,V} = \{ (x_i) \in \mathcal{E}^k \mid V(x_1) = V(x_2) = \dots = V(x_k) \},\$$

and $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,V}$ a variety obtained from $\mathcal{L}^{k,V}$ by removing components that belong to the big diagonal

$$\mathbf{\Delta}^{k,\mathcal{E}} := \{ (x_i) \in \mathcal{E}^k \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i \neq j \}$$

of \mathcal{E}^k . Further, let \mathcal{L} be an arbitrary irreducible component of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{n,V}$ and $\mathbb{N} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{L}$ the desingularization map. Finally, let $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a holomorphic map induced by the composition

$$\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{V} \mathcal{R},$$

where π_i is the projection to any coordinate. In this notation, the following statement holds.

THEOREM 2.1: The map $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the normalization of V.

Proof. It follows from the construction that

(24)
$$\deg \psi = |\operatorname{Mon}(V)|$$

and that the action of $Mon(\psi)$ on the fibers of ψ has no fixed points. The last property yields that $\deg \psi = |Mon(\psi)|$, implying that ψ is a Galois covering, according to the characterization (22). Moreover, since ψ factors through V, equality (24) implies that ψ is the normalization of V, according to the characterization (23).

Notice that while the above construction is meaningless if the map $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ has degree one, any such a map is a Galois covering with $\mathcal{N}_V = \mathcal{E}$ and $\tilde{V} = V$.

3. Proof of the main results

3.1. UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR $\chi(\mathcal{N}_V)$. Let \mathcal{R} be a compact Riemann surface. We recall that an orbifold \mathscr{O} on \mathcal{R} is a ramification function $\nu : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{N}$ which takes the value $\nu(z) = 1$ except at finitely many points. The Euler characteristic of an orbifold $\mathscr{O} = (\mathcal{R}, \nu)$ is defined by the formula

$$\chi(\mathscr{O}) = \chi(\mathcal{R}) + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{R}} \Big(\frac{1}{\nu(z)} - 1\Big),$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{R})$ is the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{R} . For a holomorphic map $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ between compact Riemann surfaces, we define its **ramification orbifold**

$$\mathscr{O}^V = (\mathcal{E}, \nu)$$

setting for $z \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ the value $\nu(z)$ equal to the least common multiple of local multiplicities of V at the points of the preimage $V^{-1}\{z\}$. Notice that Theorem 2.1 combined with formula (17) imply that

(25)
$$\mathscr{O}^{\widetilde{V}} = \mathscr{O}^{V}$$

LEMMA 3.1: Let $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces, and $\widetilde{V} : \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{R}$ its normalization. Then

(26)
$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_V) = \chi(\mathscr{O}^V) \deg \widetilde{V}.$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{V} : \mathbb{N}_V \to \mathcal{R}$ is a Galois covering, the equality

$$|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V, V)| = \operatorname{deg} V$$

holds, and \widetilde{V} is the quotient map

$$\widetilde{V}: \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{N}_V / \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V, \widetilde{V}).$$

Thus, for any critical value z_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, of \widetilde{V} there exists a number d_i such that $\widetilde{V}^{-1}\{z_i\}$ consists of deg \widetilde{V}/d_i points, at each of which the local multiplicity of \widetilde{V} equals d_i . Applying now the Riemann-Hurwitz formula to \widetilde{V} ,

we see that

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_V) = \chi(\mathcal{R}) \deg \widetilde{V} - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\deg \widetilde{V}}{d_i} (d_i - 1)$$
$$= \left(\chi(\mathcal{R}) + \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\frac{1}{d_i} - 1\right)\right) \deg \widetilde{V} = \chi(\mathscr{O}^{\widetilde{V}}) \deg \widetilde{V}.$$

Therefore, (26) holds by (25).

LEMMA 3.2: Let $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces. Then

(27)
$$\chi(\mathscr{O}^V) \ge \chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V).$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of \mathscr{O}^V that

(28)
$$\chi(\mathscr{O}^V) \ge \chi(\mathscr{R}) - |\mathbf{c}(V)|.$$

On the other hand, it is clear that the number of critical values of V does not exceed the number of critical points of V, which in turn does not exceed the number $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{E}} (e_V(z) - 1)$. Therefore, since

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = \chi(\mathcal{R}) \deg V - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{E}} (e_V(z) - 1),$$

we have

(29)
$$|\mathbf{c}(V)| \leq \sum_{z \in \mathcal{E}} (e_V(z) - 1) = \chi(\mathcal{R}) \deg V - \chi(\mathcal{E}).$$

Now (27) follows from (28) and (29).

The above lemmas combined with the Hurwitz automorphisms theorem imply the following statement.

THEOREM 3.3: Let $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{R}$ be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces, and $\widetilde{V} : \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{R}$ its normalization. Then

(30)
$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_V) \ge (\chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V)) \deg V.$$

Furthermore, if $g(\mathcal{N}_V) > 1$, then

(31)
$$\chi(\mathfrak{N}_V) \le -\frac{\deg V}{42}.$$

Proof. The first part of the theorem follows from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. To prove the second, we recall that by the Hurwitz theorem for a compact Riemann surface of genus g > 1 the order of its automorphism group does not exceed 84(g-1). Thus, if $g(N_V) > 1$, then

(32)
$$42\chi(\mathcal{N}_V) \le -|\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V)|.$$

On the other hand, since

 $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V, \widetilde{V}) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V)$

and the map \widetilde{V} is a Galois covering, it follows from (21) that

(33)
$$\deg \tilde{V} = |\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V, \tilde{V})| \le |\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{N}_V)|.$$

Now (31) follows from (32) and (33).

3.2. LIFTING LEMMA. Let $W: \mathfrak{T} \to \mathfrak{C}$ be a holomorphic map between compact Riemann surfaces, and \mathcal{D} a component of the fiber product of the map W with itself $k, 2 \leq k \leq \deg W$, times. Then \mathcal{D} is the desingularization of an irreducible component D of the variety $\mathcal{L}^{k,V}$, and abusing notation we will say that \mathcal{D} does not belong to the big diagonal of \mathfrak{T}^k , if D belongs to $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,V}$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is based on the following lemma of independent interest.

Lemma 3.4: Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \stackrel{U}{\longrightarrow} & \mathfrak{T} \\ \downarrow_{V} & \qquad \downarrow_{W} \\ \mathcal{R} & \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

be a reduced commutative diagram of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces such that deg V > 1. Then one can complete it to a diagram of holomorphic maps between compact Riemann surfaces

 \mathcal{N}_{L} \mathcal{D}

$$(34) \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & \downarrow Q & & \downarrow F \\ & & & \downarrow Q & & \downarrow F \\ & & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow W \\ & & & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow W \\ & & & & \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{P} & \mathcal{C}, \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{D} is some irreducible component of the fiber product of $W : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{C}$ with itself deg V times that does not belong to the big diagonal of $\mathcal{T}^{\deg V}$. Moreover, $V \circ Q = \widetilde{V}$ and

(35)
$$\deg F \le (\deg W - 1) \cdots (\deg W - \deg V + 1).$$

Finally, if $\deg V = \deg W$, then

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N}_W$$
 and $W \circ F = \overline{W}$.

Proof. Let us set $k = \deg V$, and define the maps

$$V_k: \mathcal{E}^k \to \mathcal{R}^k, \quad U_k: \mathcal{E}^k \to \mathcal{T}^k, \quad W_k: \mathcal{T}^k \to \mathcal{C}^k, \quad P_k: \mathcal{R}^k \to \mathcal{C}^k$$

by the formulas

$$V_k : (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \to (V(z_1), V(z_2), \dots, V(z_k)),$$

$$U_k : (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \to (U(z_1), U(z_2), \dots, U(z_k)),$$

$$W_k : (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \to (W(z_1), W(z_2), \dots, W(z_k)),$$

$$P_k : (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \to (P(z_1), P(z_2), \dots, P(z_k)).$$

Clearly, the diagram

(36)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^k & \stackrel{U_k}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{T}^k \\ & \downarrow_{V_k} & \downarrow_{W_k} \\ & \mathcal{R}^k & \stackrel{P_k}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C}^k \end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 2.1 that for an arbitrary irreducible component \mathcal{L} of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,V}$ the map from \mathcal{L} to $U_k(\mathcal{L})$ induced by the map $U_k : \mathcal{E}^k \to \mathbb{T}^k$ can be lifted to a map $L : \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is the disingularization of $U_k(\mathcal{L})$, and diagram (34) commutes for

$$Q = \pi_{1,i} \circ \theta_1, \quad F = \pi_{2,i} \circ \theta_2,$$

where $\theta_1 : \mathcal{N}_V \to \mathcal{L}$ and $\theta_2 : \mathcal{D} \to U_k(\mathcal{L})$ are the desingularization maps, and $\pi_{1,i} : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{E}$ and $\pi_{2,i} : U_k(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathcal{T}$ are the projections to any coordinate.

To prove that \mathcal{D} does not belong to the big diagonal of \mathfrak{T}^k , it is enough to show that

(37)
$$U_k(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,V}) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,W}$$

In the notation of Section 2.2,

$$\mathcal{L}^{k,V} = V_k^{-1}(\Delta^{k,\mathcal{R}}),$$

where $\Delta^{k,\mathcal{R}}$ is the usual diagonal in \mathcal{R}^k ,

$$\Delta^{k,\mathcal{R}} := \{ (x_i) \in \mathcal{R}^k \mid x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_k \}.$$

Since $P_k(\Delta^{k,\mathcal{R}}) = \Delta^{k,\mathcal{C}}$, it follows from the commutativity of (36) that

$$U_k(\mathcal{L}^{k,V}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{k,W}.$$

Further, it follows from

 $V^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}) \cdot U^*\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$

by the primitive element theorem that

(38)
$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E}) = V^* \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R})[h]$$

for some $h \in U^*\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{T})$. As elements of $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{E})$ separate points of \mathcal{E} , equality (38) implies that for all but finitely many $z_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ the map h takes deg V distinct values on the set $V^{-1}\{z_0\}$. Since $h \in U^*\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{T})$, this implies in turn that for all but finitely many $z_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ the map U takes deg V distinct values on $V^{-1}\{z_0\}$. Therefore, (37) holds.

To finish the proof, let us observe that the degree of F equals the degree of the projection $\pi_{2,i}: U_k(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathfrak{T}$. By (37), the last degree does not exceed the degree of the projection $\pi_{2,i}: \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,W} \to \mathfrak{T}$, which is equal to $(\deg W - 1) \cdots (\deg W - k + 1)$. Finally, it is easy to see that $V \circ Q = \widetilde{V}$, and

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N}_W, \quad W \circ F = \widetilde{W},$$

if $\deg V = \deg W$.

3.3. Bounds for fiber products with one component.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $(\mathfrak{R}, P) \times_{\mathfrak{C}} (\mathfrak{T}, W)$ consists of a unique component \mathcal{E} , then there exists a reduced diagram (6) such that

(39)
$$\deg V = \deg W.$$

Assume first that deg V > 1. By Lemma 3.4, we can complete diagram (6) to diagram (11), where $V \circ Q = \widetilde{V}$ and $W \circ F = \widetilde{W}$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_V) \le \chi(\mathcal{N}_W) \deg L,$$

implying by Theorem 3.3 that

$$(\chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V)) \deg \widetilde{V} \le -\frac{\deg W \deg L}{42}.$$

Since

Vol. TBD, 2025

$$\deg V \deg P = \deg V \deg Q \deg P = \deg F \deg W \deg L$$

and $W \circ F = \widetilde{W}$, this implies the inequality

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V) \le -\frac{\deg P}{42},$$

which is equivalent to (5) by (39).

Assume now that deg V = 1. Then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{C}$, and inequality (5) reduces to the inequality

$$g(\mathcal{R}) \ge 1 + \frac{\deg P}{84}.$$

On the other hand, in case deg W = 1 the condition $g(N_W) > 1$ is equivalent to the condition $\chi(\mathcal{C}) \leq -2$, and by the Riemann–Hurwitz formula we have

$$\chi(\mathcal{R}) \le \chi(\mathcal{C}) \deg P \le -2 \deg P,$$

whence

$$g(\mathcal{R}) \ge 1 + \deg P.$$

Thus, the theorem is still true although not with the best bound due to the fact that for deg V = 1 the bound (31) is worse than the bound $\chi(N_V) \leq -2$.

Remark 3.5: In case $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{CP}^1$, Theorem 1.1 was also proved in the paper [49] by a modification of the method of [47] (see [49], Theorem 3.1). Unfortunately, by the mistake of the author, the formulation of the corresponding result in [49] was partly copied from an earlier version of the paper. As a result, it is stated in [49] that P is a rational function but what is really meant is that $P: R \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a holomorphic map from a compact Riemann surface R while $W: T \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a holomorphic map from another compact Riemann surface T.

3.4. Bounds for fiber products with several components.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.4, we can complete diagram (6) to diagram (34) and arguing as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we see that

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_V) \le \chi(\mathcal{D}) \deg L$$

and

$$(\chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V)) \le \frac{\chi(\mathcal{D}) \deg L}{\deg \widetilde{V}} = \frac{\chi(\mathcal{D}) \deg P}{\deg F \deg W}.$$

Moreover, since the conditions of the theorem imply that $\chi(\mathcal{D}) \leq -2$, we have

(40)
$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V) \le \frac{-2 \deg P}{\deg F \deg W}.$$

Combining now (40) and (35), we conclude that

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) + \chi(\mathcal{R})(1 - \deg V) \le \frac{-2 \deg P}{\deg W(\deg W - 1) \cdots (\deg W - \deg V + 1)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 for W = A, P = B and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{C} = \mathbb{CP}^1$, taking into account that for $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{CP}^1$ the inequality in (5) is strict. Indeed, any holomorphic map $V : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of degree greater than one has critical values. This yields that the inequality in (28) is strict, implying that the inequalities in (27), (30), (5) and (40) are also strict.

Remark 3.6: Note that since for rational A and B inequality (5) is strict, for irreducible curves $E_{A,B}$ with rational A and B, Theorem 1.1 gives (4).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let us observe that any irreducible component \mathcal{L} of

$$\mathcal{L}^{k,V}, 2 \le k \le n,$$

projects to an irreducible component $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{L}^{2,V}$, and $g(\mathcal{L}) \geq g(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{L} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{k,V}$, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{L}}^{2,V}$. Therefore, if A is tame, then for any $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$, curve (9) has no component of genus zero or one that does not belong to the big diagonal of $(\mathbb{CP}^1)^k$. Moreover, for any $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$, the inequalities

$$-k \ge -n$$
, $\frac{m}{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)} \ge \frac{m}{n!}$

hold. Thus, if k > 1, then (10) follows from (8). On the other hand, it is easy to see that if k = 1, then $B = A \circ S$ for some rational function S, and C is the graph x - S(y) = 0.

3.5. ON THE SHARPNESS OF THE BOUND OF THEOREM 1.1. In general, the bound provided by Theorem 1.1 is the best possible and is attained whenever P and W are the quotient maps associated with the action of the full automorphism groups of two Hurwitz surfaces, assuming that these maps have the same ramification orbifold and the fiber product of P and W consists of a unique component. Here, by a Hurwitz surface we mean a compact Riemann surface \mathcal{R} of genus g > 1 with $|\operatorname{Aut}(R)| = 84(g-1)$.

Indeed, in terms of coverings, Hurwitz surfaces can be described as surfaces \mathcal{R} such that there exists a holomorphic Galois covering $P : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ with the ramification orbifold (\mathbb{O}^P, ν) whose signature is (2, 3, 7) (the signature for which the Euler characteristic takes the maximum possible value $-\frac{1}{42}$ among all orbifolds of negative Euler characteristic). By formula (26), for such a surface \mathcal{R} , the genus $g(\mathcal{R})$ and the degree n_P of P are related by the formula

(41)
$$84(g(\mathcal{R}) - 1) = n_P,$$

and it clear that the number of points in the preimages $P^{-1}(0), P^{-1}(1), P^{-1}(\infty)$ is

$$n_{1,P} = \frac{n_P}{2}, \quad n_{2,P} = \frac{n_P}{3}, \quad n_{3,P} = \frac{n_P}{7}$$

correspondingly.

Assuming that the fiber product of two such coverings P and W consists of a unique component \mathcal{E} and that P and W have the same ramification orbifold, say, defined by the equalities

$$\nu(0) = 2, \quad \nu(1) = 3, \quad \nu(\infty) = 7,$$

we obtain by formula (19) that

$$\chi(\mathcal{E}) = -n_P \cdot n_W + 2 \cdot n_{1,P} \cdot n_{1,W} + 3 \cdot n_{2,P} \cdot n_{2,W} + 7 \cdot n_{3,P} \cdot n_{3,W}$$
$$= -n_P \cdot n_W + n_P \cdot n_{1,W} + n_P \cdot n_{2,W} + n_P \cdot n_{3,W}$$
$$= -n_P \left(n_W - \frac{n_W}{2} - \frac{n_W}{3} - \frac{n_W}{7} \right) = -\frac{n_P n_W}{42},$$

whence

$$g(\mathcal{E}) = 1 + \frac{n_P n_W}{84}.$$

On the other hand, formula (5) gives

(42)
$$g(\mathcal{E}) \ge (g(\mathcal{R}) - 1)(n_W - 1) + 1 + \frac{n_P}{84}$$

and using (41) we see that the right part of (42) equals

$$\frac{n_P}{84}(n_W - 1) + 1 + \frac{n_P}{84} = 1 + \frac{n_P n_W}{84}$$

Thus, the equality in (5) is indeed attained.

In this paper, we do not make an attempt to consider the irreducibility problem for fiber products of maps of the above form in general, limiting ourselves by giving an example of the irreducibility and an example of the reducibility, basing on the list of all possible genera up to 11905 of Hurwitz surfaces obtained

by Conder [13]. The beginning of this list is

$$\begin{split} g = & 3, 7, 14, 17, 118, 129, 146, 385, 411, 474, 687, 769, \\ & 1009, 1025, 1459, 1537, 2091, 2131, 2185, 2663, 3404, \ldots, \end{split}$$

and formula (41) gives the list of the degrees n of the corresponding coverings. To save space, we present the quotients n/84 of these degrees

(43)
$$\frac{n}{84} = g - 1 = 2, 6, 13, 16, 117, 128, 145, 384, 410, 473, 686, 768, 1008, 1024, 1458, 1536, 2090, 2130, 2184, 2662, 3403, \dots$$

Let us show that the fiber product of any coverings $P : \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ and

 $W: \mathfrak{T} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$

of the above form with $g(\mathfrak{R}) = 3$ and $g(\mathfrak{T}) = 14$ consists of a unique component. Indeed, since the compositum LK/k of two Galois extensions L/k and K/k is a Galois extension, it follows from formula (17) that any component of the fiber product of P and W is a Hurwitz surface. Thus, if this fiber product contains more than one component, then there should exist a Hurwitz surface such that the degree of the corresponding covering is divisible by the number

$$lcm(n^P, n^W) = lcm(84 \cdot 2, 84 \cdot 13) = 84 \cdot 2 \cdot 13,$$

but is strictly less than the number $n_P n_W = 84^2 \cdot 2 \cdot 13$. In this case, list (43) should contain an entry that is divisible by $2 \cdot 13 = 26$, but is strictly less than $84 \cdot 2 \cdot 13 = 2184$. However, this is not true as a direct calculation shows.

On the other hand, to show that the fiber product of any coverings

$$P: \mathcal{R} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$$

and $W: \mathfrak{T} \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ of the above form with $g(\mathfrak{R}) = 3$ and $g(\mathfrak{T}) = 17$ is reducible, it is enough to observe that list (43) does not contain an entry

$$\frac{n_P n_W}{84} = 84 \cdot 2 \cdot 16 = 2688.$$

OPEN ACCESS. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the appropriate credit is given to the original authors and the source, and a link is provided to the Creative Commons license, indicating if changes were made (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Open access funding provided by Ben-Gurion University.

References

- R. Accola, Topics in the Theory of Riemann Surfaces, Lecture notes in mathematics, Vol. 1595, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [2] R. Accola, On the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality for Riemann surfaces, Kodai Mathematical Journal 29 (2006), 299–317.
- [3] T. T. An and N. T. Diep, Genus one factors of curves defined by separated variable polynomials, Journal of Number Theory 133 (2013), 2616–2634.
- [4] V. H. An, H. Vu and L. Q. Ninh, On functional equations of the Fermat–Waring type for non-Archimedean vectorial entire functions, Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society 53 (2016), 1185–1196.
- [5] R. Avanzi and U. Zannier, Genus one curves defined by separated variable polynomials and a polynomial Pell equation, Acta Arithmetica 99 (2001), 227–256.
- [6] R. Avanzi and U. Zannier, The equation f(X) = f(Y) in rational functions X = X(t), Y = Y(t), Compositio Mathematica **139** (2003), 263–295.
- [7] A. Beardon and T. Ng, Parametrizations of algebraic curves, Annales Academiæ Scientiarum Fennicæ. Mathematica **31** (2006), 541–554.
- [8] Y. Bilu, C. Fuchs, F. Luca and A. Pinter, Combinatorial Diophantine equations and a refinement of a theorem on separated variables equations, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen 82 (2013), 219–254.
- [9] Y. Bilu and R. Tichy, The Diophantine equation f(x) = g(y), Acta Arithmetica 95 (2000), 261–288.
- [10] A. Bogatyrev, Rational functions admitting double decompositions, Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society 73 (2012), 161–165.
- [11] J. Cahn, R. Jones and J. Spear, Powers in orbits of rational functions: cases of an arithmetic dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture, Canadian Journal of Mathematic 71 (2019), 773-817.
- [12] P. Cassou-Noguès and J. Couveignes, Factorizations explicites de g(y) h(z), Acta Arithmetica **87** (1999), 291–317.
- [13] M. Conder, The genus of compact Riemann surfaces with maximal automorphism group, Journal of Algebra 108 (1987), 204–247.
- [14] H. Davenport, D. J. Lewis and A. Schinzel, Equations of the form f(x) = g(y), Quarterly Journal of Mathematics **12** (1961), 304–312.
- [15] H. Davenport and A. Schinzel, Two problems concerning polynomials, Journal f
 ür die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 214 (1964), 386–391.
- [16] A. Dubickas and D. Kreso, Diophantine equations with truncated binomial polynomials, Indagationes Mathematicae 27 (2016), 392–405.
- [17] A. Eremenko, Some functional equations connected with the iteration of rational functions, Leningrad Mathematical Journal 1 (1990), 905–919.
- [18] M. Fried, On a theorem of Ritt and related diophantine problems, Journal f
 ür die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 264 (1973), 40–55.
- [19] M. Fried, Fields of definition of function fields and a problem in the reducibility of polynomials in two variables, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 17 (1973), 128–146.
- [20] M. Fried, Arithmetical properties of function fields. II. The generalized Schur problem, Acta Arithmetica 25 (1974), 225–258.

- [21] M. Fried, Introduction to modular towers: generalizing dihedral group-modular curve connections, in Recent Developments in the Inverse Galois Problem, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 186, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 111– 171.
- [22] M. D. Fried, Variables separated equations: Strikingly different roles for the branch cycle lemma and the finite simple group classification, Science China. Mathematics 55 (2012), 1–72.
- [23] M. D. Fried and I. Gusić, Schinzel's problem: imprimitive covers and the monodromy method, Acta Arithmetica 155 (2012), 27–40.
- [24] C. Fuchs and U. Zannier, Composite rational functions expressible with few terms, Journal of the European Mathematical Society 14 (2012), 175–208.
- [25] H. Fujimoto, On uniqueness of meromorphic functions sharing finite sets, American Journal of Mathematics 122 (2000), 1175–1203.
- [26] D. Ghioca, T. Tucker and M. Zieve, Intersections of polynomial orbits, and a dynamical Mordell-Lang conjecture, Inventiones Mathematicae 171 (2008), 463–483.
- [27] D. Ghioca, T. Tucker and M. Zieve, *Linear relations between polynomial orbits*, Duke Mathematical Journal **161** (2012), 1379–1410.
- [28] E. Girondo and G. González-Diez, Introduction to Compact Riemann Surfaces and Dessins d'enfants, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, Vol. 79, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [29] J. Harris, Galois groups of enumerative problems, Duke Mathematical Journal 46 (1979), 685–724.
- [30] J. Hauenstein, J. Rodriguez and F. Sottile, Numerical computation of Galois groups, Foundations of Computational Mathematics 18 (2018), 867–890.
- [31] T. Hyde, Polynomial Statistics, Necklace Polynomials, and the Arithmetic Dynamical Mordell-Lang Conjecture, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, 2019.
- [32] E. Kani, On Castelnuovo's equivalence defect, Journal f
 ür die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik 352 (1984), 24–69.
- [33] H. H. Khoai, H. Huy, V. H. An and P. N. Hoa, On functional equations for meromorphic functions and applications, Archiv der Mathematik 109 (2017), 539–549.
- [34] H. H. Khoai and C. C. Yang, On the functional equation P(f) = Q(g), in Value Distribution Theory and Related Topics, Advanced Complex Analysis and Application, Vol. 3, Kluwer Academic, Boston, MA, 2004, pp. 201–208.
- [35] J. König, A. Leitner and D. Neftin, Almost-regular dessins d'enfant on a torus and sphere, Topology and its Applications 243 (2018), 78–99.
- [36] J. König and D. Neftin, Reducible fibers of polynomial maps, International Mathematics Research Notices 2024 (2024), 5373–5402.
- [37] D. Kreso, Diophantine equations in separated variables and lacunary polynomials, International Journal of Number Theory 13 (2017), 2055–2074.
- [38] D. Kreso, and R. Tichy, Diophantine equations in separated variables, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica 76 (2018), 47–67.
- [39] P. Li and C. C. Yang, Some further results on the functional equation P(f) = Q(g), in Value Distribution Theory and Related Topics, Advanced Complex Analysis and Application, Vol. 3, Kluwer Academic, Boston, MA, 2004, pp. 219–231.

- [40] M. Muzychuk and F. Pakovich, Jordan-Hölder theorem for imprimitivity systems and maximal decompositions of rational functions, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society 102 (2011), 1–24.
- [41] T. Ng and M. X. Wang, Ritt's theory on the unit disk, Forum Mathematicum 25 (2013), 821–851.
- [42] F. Pakovich, Prime and composite Laurent polynomials, Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques 133 (2009) 693–732.
- [43] F. Pakovitch, Solution of the Hurwitz problem for Laurent polynomials, Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications 18 (2009) 271–302.
- [44] F. Pakovich, On the equation P(f) = Q(g), where P, Q are polynomials and f, g are entire functions, American Journal of Mathematics **132** (2010), 1591–1607.
- [45] F. Pakovich, Algebraic curves P(x) Q(y) = 0 and functional equations, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations 56 (2011), 199–213.
- [46] F. Pakovich, On semiconjugate rational functions, Geometric and Functional Analysis 26 (2016), 1217–1243.
- [47] F. Pakovich, On algebraic curves A(x) B(y) = 0 of genus zero, Mathematische Zeitschrift **288** (2018), 299–310.
- [48] F. Pakovich, On rational functions whose normalization has genus zero or one, Acta Arithmetica 182 (2018), 73–100.
- [49] F. Pakovich, Algebraic curves $A^{\circ l}(x) U(y) = 0$ and arithmetic of orbits of rational functions, Moscow Mathematical Journal **20** (2020), 153–183.
- [50] F. Pakovich, On rational functions sharing the measure of maximal entropy, Arnold Mathematical Journal 6 (2020), 387–396.
- [51] F. Pakovich, Commuting rational functions revisited, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 41 (2021), 295–320.
- [52] F. Pakovich, On amenable semigroups of rational functions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 375 (2022), 7945–7979.
- [53] F. Pakovich, Tame rational functions: Decompositions of iterates and orbit intersections, Journal of the European Mathematical Society 25 (2023), 3953–3978.
- [54] C. Petronio, The Hurwitz existence problem for surface branched covers, in Winter Braids X (Pisa, 2020), Winter Braids Lecture Notes, Vol. 7, Centre Mersenne, Grenoble, 2020, Exp. No. 2.
- [55] J. Ritt, Prime and composite polynomials, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 23 (1922), 51–66.
- [56] J. Ritt. Permutable rational functions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 25 (1923), 399–448.
- [57] J. Ritt, Equivalent rational substitutions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 26 (1924), 221–229.
- [58] A. Schinzel, Some unsolved problems on polynomials. Matematička biblioteka 25 (1963), 63–70.
- [59] A. Schinzel, Polynomials With Special Regard to Reducibility, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 77, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [60] N. Segol, Injectivity of rational functions, M.Sc. thesis, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, 2018.

- [61] J. Song and B. Xu, On rational functions with more than three branch points, Algebra Colloquium 27 (2020), 231–246.
- [62] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, Graduate Textbooks in Mathematics, Vol. 254, Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [63] T. Stoll, Complete decomposition of Dickson-type polynomials and related Diophantine equations, Journal of Number Theory 128 (2008), 1157–1181.
- [64] R. Thom, L'équivalence d'une fonction différentiable et d'un polynôme, Topology 3 (1965), 297–307.
- [65] C. C. Yang and X. Hua, Unique polynomials of entire and meromorphic functions, Matematicheskaya Fizika, Analiz, Geometriya 4 (1997), 391–398.
- [66] X. Zhu, Spherical conic metrics and realizability of branched covers, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 147 (2019), 1805–1815.