

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques



www.elsevier.com/locate/bulsci

Weak and strong composition conditions for the Abel differential equation



F. Pakovich

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University, P.O.B. 653, Beer Sheva 84105, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 February 2014 Available online 4 June 2014

MSC: 34C25 34C07

Keywords:
Abel equation
Composition condition
Periodic orbits
Centers

ABSTRACT

We establish an equivalence between two forms of the composition condition for the Abel differential equation with trigonometric coefficients.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$ be the ring of trigonometric polynomials over \mathbb{R} , that is the ring generated over \mathbb{R} by the functions $\cos \theta$, $\sin \theta$. The center problem for the Abel differential equation

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \hat{l}(\theta)r^3 + \hat{m}(\theta)r^2,\tag{1}$$

where \hat{l} , $\hat{m} \in \mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$, is to find conditions implying that all its solutions are periodic on $[0, 2\pi]$ whenever the initial condition is small enough. This problem is of a great

E-mail address: pakovich@math.bgu.ac.il.

interest because of its relation with the classical Poincaré center-focus problem about the characterization of planar vector fields

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -y + F(x, y), \\ \dot{y} = x + G(x, y), \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where F(x, y), G(x, y) are polynomials without constant and linear terms, whose integral trajectories are closed in a neighborhood of the origin. Namely, it was shown in [8] that in the case where F(x, y), G(x, y) are homogeneous and of the same degree, the Poincaré problem reduces to the center problem for Abel equation (1). The center problem for the Abel equation and its modifications is the subject of many recent papers involving different approaches and techniques (see e.g. [1,4-7,9-12] and the bibliography therein).

Set

$$l(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\theta} \widehat{l}(s)ds, \qquad m(\theta) = \int_{0}^{\theta} \widehat{m}(s)ds.$$
 (3)

The following "composition condition" introduced in [2] is sufficient for Eq. (1) to have a center: there exist C^1 -functions $\widetilde{l}, \widetilde{m}, w$ with w being 2π -periodic such that

$$l(\theta) = \widetilde{l}(w(\theta)), \qquad m(\theta) = \widetilde{m}(w(\theta)).$$
 (4)

Indeed, if (4) holds, then any solution of (1) has the form $y(\theta) = \widetilde{y}(w(\theta))$, where \widetilde{y} is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \tilde{l}'(\theta)r^3 + \tilde{m}'(\theta)r^2,$$

implying that $y(0) = y(2\pi)$.

In general, the composition condition is not necessary for (1) to have a center [3,4]. However, the composition condition is necessary and sufficient for some stronger forms of the center condition as well as for some other conditions related to the center problem (see e.g. [9,12]). In fact, in all such cases the following apparently stronger condition imposed on l and m is satisfied: there exist a $trigonometric\ polynomial\ w$ and $polynomials\ \tilde{l}, \tilde{m}$ such that equalities (4) hold. In this note we show that the last condition is actually equivalent to the composition condition. More precisely, we prove the following statement:

Theorem 1.1. Let $l, m \in \mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$. Assume that there exist continuous functions $\widetilde{l}, \widetilde{m}, w$ with w being 2π -periodic such that the equalities

$$l(\theta) = \widetilde{l}(w(\theta)), \qquad m(\theta) = \widetilde{m}(w(\theta))$$

hold. Then they hold for some \tilde{l} , $\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$.

Thus, despite its analytic nature the composition condition turns out to be essentially algebraic. In particular, it can be expressed in terms of algebraic conditions imposed on coefficients of corresponding trigonometric polynomials.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Denote by $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ the quotient field of $\mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$. It is well known that $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ is isomorphic to the field $\mathbb{R}(x)$, where the isomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R}_t(\theta) \to \mathbb{R}(x)$ is given by formulas

$$\psi(\sin \theta) = \frac{2x}{1+x^2}, \qquad \psi(\cos \theta) = \frac{1-x^2}{1+x^2}, \qquad \psi^{-1}(x) = \tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right).$$

In particular, this implies by the Lüroth theorem that any subfield k of $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ has the form $k = \mathbb{R}(b)$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let l, m be non-constant trigonometric polynomials. Assume that there exist continuous functions $\widetilde{l}, \widetilde{m}, w$ such that equalities (4) hold. Then the field $\mathbb{R}(l, m)$ is distinct from the field $\mathbb{R}(\tan(\frac{n\theta}{2}))$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Assume that $\mathbb{R}(l,m) = \mathbb{R}(\tan(\frac{n\theta}{2}))$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then there exists $u \in \mathbb{R}(x,y)$ such that

$$\tan\left(\frac{n\theta}{2}\right) = u(l,m). \tag{5}$$

Clearly, conditions (4) and (5) imply that for any $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ the equality

$$\tan\left(\frac{n\theta_1}{2}\right) = \tan\left(\frac{n\theta_2}{2}\right) \tag{6}$$

holds whenever

$$w(\theta_1) = w(\theta_2). \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, equality (6) holds if and only if

$$\theta_1 - \theta_2 \equiv 0 \mod \frac{2\pi}{n}.$$

Therefore, in order to prove the lemma it is enough to find $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (7) holds but

$$\theta_1 - \theta_2 \not\equiv 0 \mod \frac{2\pi}{n}.$$
 (8)

Since the function w is continuous and 2π -periodic, it attains its maximum value x_0 on \mathbb{R} . Furthermore, it follows easily from the intermediate value theorem that for any

positive ϵ which is small enough the equation $w(\theta) = x_0 - \epsilon$ has at least two distinct roots θ_1 , θ_2 which satisfy (8). \square

The following lemma, describing subfields of $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ containing trigonometric polynomials, is proved in the paper [12, Proposition 21] and in the paper [9, Theorem 5]. However, the proofs given in [12,9] are quite complicated and occupy several pages. Below we provide a short independent proof which is based on the fact that the ring $\mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$ is isomorphic to a subring of the ring $\mathbb{C}[z,1/z]$ of complex Laurent polynomials, where an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_t[\theta] \to \mathbb{C}[z,1/z]$ is given by the formulas:

$$\cos \theta \to \left(\frac{z+1/z}{2}\right), \qquad \sin \theta \to \left(\frac{z-1/z}{2i}\right).$$
 (9)

Notice that the isomorphism φ can be used for a construction of a comprehensive decomposition theory of trigonometric polynomials (see [13]).

Lemma 2.2. Let k be a subfield of $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ containing a non-constant trigonometric polynomial. Then either $k = \mathbb{R}(\tan(\frac{n\theta}{2}))$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or $k = \mathbb{R}(b)$ for some trigonometric polynomial b.

Proof. For brevity, we will denote the ring $\mathbb{C}[z,1/z]$ by $\mathcal{L}[z]$ and the image of $\mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$ in \mathcal{L} under the isomorphism φ by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}[z]$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}[z]$ consists of Laurent polynomials L such that $\bar{L}(1/z) = L(z)$, where \bar{L} denotes the Laurent polynomial obtained from L by complex conjugation of all its coefficients. The isomorphism φ extends to an isomorphism between the quotient field $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ of $\mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$ and the quotient field $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(z)$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}[z]$. Clearly, the field $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(z)$ consists of rational functions R satisfying the equality $\bar{R}(1/z) = R(z)$.

Assume that k is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ containing a non-constant trigonometric polynomial l. Let b be an element of $\mathbb{R}_t(\theta)$ such that $k = \mathbb{R}(b)$ and let $A \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ be a rational function such that $l(\theta) = A(b(\theta))$. Set $L = \varphi(l)$, $B = \varphi(b)$. Clearly, L(z) = A(B(z)). Further, since L is a Laurent polynomial we have:

$$L^{-1}\{\infty\} = B^{-1}\{A^{-1}\{\infty\}\} = \{0, \infty\},\,$$

implying that the set $A^{-1}\{\infty\}$ contains at most two points. In more details, either

$$A^{-1}\{\infty\} = \{a\} \text{ and } B^{-1}\{a\} = \{0, \infty\},$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{CP}^1$, or

$$A^{-1}\{\infty\} = \{a,b\} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{-1}\{a,b\} = \{0,\infty\},$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{CP}^1$.

It is easy to see that in the first case there exists a rational function $\mu \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ of degree one such that $A(\mu(z))$ is a polynomial and $\mu^{-1}(B(z))$ is a Laurent polynomial, while in the second case there exists a rational function $\mu \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ of degree one such that $A(\mu(z))$ is a Laurent polynomial and $\mu^{-1}(B(z)) = z^d$, d > 1.

Since $A \in \mathbb{R}(x)$, if a is a pole of A, then \bar{a} is also a pole. Therefore, in the first case the equality $A^{-1}\{\infty\} = \{a\}$ implies that $a \in \mathbb{R}$, unless $a = \infty$. Hence, setting $\mu(z) = a + 1/z$, we can assume that μ has real coefficients. Since $B \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(z)$, this implies that the function $\mu^{-1}(B(z))$ is contained in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}[z]$, and hence $\mu^{-1}(b(\theta))$ is a trigonometric polynomial, since φ is an isomorphism. Clearly, this polynomial generates the field k.

In the second case, composing μ with an other rational function of degree one, we obtain a rational function $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ of degree one such that

$$\mu_1^{-1}\big(B(z)\big) = \frac{1}{i} \frac{z^d - 1}{z^d + 1} = \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{z^{d/2} - z^{-d/2}}{z^{d/2} + z^{-d/2}} \right) = \varphi\big(\tan(d\theta/2)\big).$$

Since the rational functions $\varphi(\tan(d\theta/2))$ and B(z) are contained in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}(z)$, the last equality implies easily that $\bar{\mu}_1^{-1} = \mu_1^{-1}$. Therefore, $\mu_1^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ and $\mu_1^{-1}(b) = \tan(d\theta/2)$. \square

Theorem 1.1 follows from the above lemmas. Indeed, by Lemma 2.1, the field $k = \mathbb{R}(l,m)$ is distinct from the field $\mathbb{R}(\tan(\frac{n\theta}{2}))$ for any $n \geq 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 this field is generated by some trigonometric polynomial w implying that equalities (4) hold for some \widetilde{l} , $\widetilde{m} \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}_t[\theta]$. Moreover, using the isomorphism φ , it is easy to see that in fact \widetilde{l} , $\widetilde{m} \in \mathbb{R}[x]$.

Conflict of interest statement

There are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the ISF, Grants No. 639/09 and 779/13.

References

- A. Alvarez, J.L. Bravo, C. Christopher, On the trigonometric moment problem, Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 34 (1) (2014) 1–20.
- [2] M.A.M. Alwash, N.G. Lloyd, Non-autonomous equations related to polynomial two-dimensional systems, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 105 (1987) 129–152.
- [3] M.A.M. Alwash, On a condition for a centre of cubic non-autonomous equations, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. A 113 (1989) 289–291.
- [4] M.A.M. Alwash, The composition conjecture for Abel equation, Expo. Math. 27 (3) (2009) 241–250.
- [5] M. Briskin, F. Pakovich, Y. Yomdin, Algebraic geometry of the center-focus problem for Abel differential equation, preprint, arXiv:1211.1296, 2012.

- [6] M. Briskin, N. Roytvarf, Y. Yomdin, Center conditions at infinity for Abel differential equation, Ann. Math. 172 (1) (2010) 437–483.
- [7] A. Brudnyi, Some algebraic aspects of the center problem for ordinary differential equations, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. 9 (1–2) (2010) 9–28.
- [8] L. Cherkas, Number of limit cycles of an autonomous second-order system, Differ. Uravn. 12 (5) (1976) 944–946.
- [9] A. Cima, A. Gasull, F. Mañosas, A simple solution of some composition conjectures for Abel equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 398 (2013) 477–486.
- [10] A. Cima, A. Gasull, F. Mañosas, Centers for trigonometric Abel equations, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. 11 (2012) 19–37.
- [11] J.P. Francoise, Parametric centers for trigonometric Abel equations, J. Dyn. Differ. Equ. 20 (4) (2008) 777–786.
- [12] J. Giné, M. Grau, J. Llibre, Universal centres and composition conditions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 106 (2013) 481–507.
- [13] F. Pakovich, On decompositions of trigonometric polynomials, preprint, arXiv:1307.5594.