





COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MOSCOW MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY

On trees admitting morphisms onto hedgehogs or onto chains

To cite this article: F Pakovich 2000 Russ. Math. Surv. 55 593

View the <u>article online</u> for updates and enhancements.

Related content

- On the first case of Fermat's theorem for cyclotomic fields
 V A Kolyvagin
- <u>On unordered phases of certain models on a Cayley tree</u>
 N N Ganikhodzhaev and U A Rozikov
- Cartographical groups and generalized Chebyshev polynomials
 Yu Yu Kochetkov

On trees admitting morphisms onto hedgehogs or onto chains

F. Pakovich

In this note, within the framework of Grothendieck's theory of "Dessins d'Enfants" (see [1], [2]), we describe in purely combinatorial terms necessary and sufficient conditions under which an n-edged tree admits a morphism onto a d-edged tree in the classes 'hedgehogs' or 'chains', the simplest classes of trees. We note that in the case of chains, the corresponding result has a particular interest in view of its connection with arithmetic hyperelliptic curves (see [3]).

We recall that a *sketch* is defined to be a connected graph λ embedded in a compact oriented Riemann surface X such that its complement is a disjoint union of topological discs. If $X \setminus \lambda$ consists of a single cell, then the sketch is called unicellular. A unicellular sketch lying on the Riemann sphere is called a *tree*. A tree is called a *hedgehog* if it has only one vertex whose valency is strictly greater than 1, and a *chain* if the valency of each of its vertices is less than or equal to 2.

By a morphism of a sketch $\lambda_1 \subset X_1$ onto a sketch $\lambda_2 \subset X_2$ we mean a branched covering of the oriented surfaces $\gamma \colon X_1 \to X_2$ such that $\gamma^{-1}(\lambda_2) = \lambda_1$. The category of sketches is equivalent to the category of finite homogeneous C_2^+ sets, where $C_2^+ = \langle \rho_0, \rho_1, \rho_2 | \rho_1^2 = \rho_0 \rho_1 \rho_2 = 1 \rangle$ is the cartographic Grothendieck group. Let $\lambda \subset X$ be a sketch. The group C_2^+ acts on the set of oriented edges of λ in the following way: the generator ρ_0 cyclically permutes the edges in the order induced by the orientation of X around the vertices from which they issue, and the generator ρ_1 reverses the orientation of the edges. For a sketch λ , let $ER(\lambda)$ denote the group of permutations of the oriented edges generated by ρ_0 and ρ_1 .

For a tree λ the *branch* issuing from one of its vertices u is the maximal subgraph of λ containing u as a hanging vertex (that is, of valency one). The cyclic ordering of the edges associated with u induces in a natural way an ordering of the branches issuing from u. We shall say that two branches are adjacent if they issue from the same vertex and in a circuit of this vertex one of the branches follows the other. The number of edges of a branch a is called its weight |a|.

Theorem. Let λ be an n-edged tree and let $d \mid n$. Then λ admits a morphism onto a d-edged chain (respectively, a d-edged hedgehog) if and only if the sum (respectively, the difference) of the weights of any two adjacent branches of λ is divisible by d.

The proof of the theorem follows easily from the lemmas given below, which also have independent interest.

With each n-edged unicellular sketch λ we associate an involution $\phi_{\lambda} \subset S_{2n}$ according to the following rule: we enumerate the oriented edges of λ by the symbols $0,1,\ldots,2n-1$ in such a way that the cycle $\rho_0\rho_1$ coincides with the cycle $(01\ldots 2n-1)$ and we put $\phi_{\lambda}(i)=\rho_1(i)$. It is clear that two such involutions correspond to the same sketch if and only if they are conjugate by some power of the cycle $(01\ldots 2n-1)$. In what follows, we shall assume for convenience that ϕ_{λ} is defined on the whole set \mathbb{Z} , by putting $\phi_{\lambda}(j)=\phi_{\lambda}(i)$, for $j\in\mathbb{Z}$, where $i\equiv j\pmod{2n}$ and $0\leq i\leq 2n-1$.

Lemma 1. Let λ be an n-edged tree and let $d \mid n$. Then λ admits a morphism onto a d-edged tree μ if and only if for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\phi_{\lambda}(i+2d) \equiv \phi_{\lambda}(i) \pmod{2d}.$$
 (1)

Here $\phi_{\mu}(i) \equiv \phi_{\lambda}(i) \pmod{2d}$.

Proof. Since $ER(\lambda)$ contains the cycle $(01\dots 2n-1)$, it is easy to show that a system of imprimitivity for $ER(\lambda)$ consisting of 2d blocks can only be the collection of sets A_i , $0 \le i \le d-1$, where

This work was completed with the support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 00-01-00695).

AMS 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C05; Secondary 11G05.

 A_i consists of the numbers congruent to $i \mod 2d$. Moreover, since $ER(\lambda)$ is generated by the permutations $(01 \dots 2n-1)$ and ϕ_{λ} , the collection A_i , $0 \le i \le d-1$, is a system of imprimitivity for $ER(\lambda)$ if and only if $\phi_{\lambda}(A_i) = A_{\phi_{\lambda}(i)}$.

Lemma 2. Let μ be a d-edged tree. Then μ is a chain (respectively, a hedgehog) if and only if

$$\phi_{\mu}(i) - \phi_{\mu}(i+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2d},\tag{2}$$

respectively,

$$\phi_{\mu}(i) + \phi_{\mu}(i+1) \equiv 2i+1 \pmod{2d}.$$
 (2')

Proof. For a d-edged chain the corresponding involution can be given by the equation $\phi_1(j)=2d-1-j$, and the involution conjugate to ϕ_1 by the kth power of the cycle $(01\dots 2d-1)$ has the form $\widetilde{\phi}_1(j)=2d-2k-1-j$, which implies that conditions (2) are satisfied. Conversely, if conditions (2) are satisfied, then by summing them from i=0 to i=j, we find that $\phi_{\mu}(j)=\phi_{\mu}(0)-j$. Thus, since $\phi_{\mu}(j)$ has no fixed points, $\phi_{\mu}(0)$ is an odd integer and hence $\phi_{\mu}(j)$ coincides with $\widetilde{\phi}_1(j)=2d-2k-1-j$ for some k, which proves the sufficiency of the conditions of the lemma in the case of chains. The case of hedgehogs can be investigated similarly.

The author thanks G. B. Shabat for his attention to the work and for useful observations.

Bibliography

- [1] A. Grothendieck, "Esquisse d'un programme", in L. C. Schneps and P. Lochak (eds.), Geometric Galois actions. I, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 242, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1995, pp. 5–48; English transl. pp. 243–283.
- [2] L. C. Shneps (ed.), The Grothendieck theory of Dessins d'Enfants, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 200, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1994.
- [3] F. Pakovitch, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 48 (1998), 1001–1029.

 $\label{thm:moscow} \mbox{Moscow Centre for Continuing Mathematical Education E-mail: pakovitc@mccme.ru}$

Received 03/APR/00